

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
DOCKET NO. 95-499-C - ORDER NO. 95-137✓  
JULY 24, 1995

IN RE: Application of LDM Systems, Inc. for	)	ORDER
a Certificate of Public Convenience	)	GRANTING
and Necessity to Provide Resold Tele-	)	CERTIFICATE
communications Services Within the	)	
State of South Carolina.	)	

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) by way of the Application of LDM Systems, Inc. (LDM or the Company) requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to operate as a reseller of telecommunications services in the State of South Carolina. The Company's Application was filed pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-280 (Supp. 1994) and the Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina.

The Commission's Executive Director instructed LDM to publish, one time, a prepared Notice of Filing in newspapers of general circulation in the affected areas. The purpose of the Notice of Filing was to inform interested parties of LDM's Application and of the manner and time in which to file the appropriate pleadings for participation in the proceeding. LDM complied with this instruction and provided the Commission with proof of publication of the Notice of Filing. No Petitions to Intervene were received.

A public hearing was commenced on July 11, 1995, at 11:00 a.m., in the Commission's Hearing Room. The Honorable Rudolph

Mitchell, Chairman, presided. LDM was represented by John F. Beach, Esquire. Florence P. Belser, Staff Counsel, represented the Commission Staff.

Thomas McCrosson, Vice President of Sales and Marketing of LDM, appeared and offered testimony in support of LDM's Application. McCrosson explained LDM's request for authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in South Carolina as a non-facilities based reseller. McCrosson described LDM's services, its managerial, technological, and financial resources, and its marketing procedures. McCrosson also stated that LDM will provide its services in compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations.

After full consideration of the applicable law, the Company's Application, the evidence presented by the Company and the Commission Staff, the Commission hereby issues its findings of fact and conclusions of law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. LDM is incorporated under the laws of the State of New York and is licensed to do business as a foreign corporation in the State of South Carolina by the Secretary of State.

2. LDM operates as a non-facilities based reseller of interexchange services and wishes to provide its services in South Carolina.

3. LDM has the experience, capability, and financial resources to provide the services as described in its Application.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Based on the above findings of fact, the Commission

determines that a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity should be granted to LDM to provide intrastate interLATA service and to originate and terminate toll traffic in the same LATA, as set forth herein, through the resale of intrastate Wide Area Telecommunications Services (WATS), Message Telecommunications Services (MTS), Foreign Exchange Service, Private Line Service, or any other services authorized for resale by tariffs of carriers approved by the Commission.

2. The Commission adopts a rate design for LDM for its resale services which includes only maximum rate levels for each tariff charge. A rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels with the flexibility for adjustment below the maximum rate levels has been previously adopted by the Commission. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications Corporation, etc., Order No. 84-622, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (August 2, 1984).

3. LDM shall not adjust its rates below the approved maximum level without notice to the Commission and to the public. LDM shall file its proposed rate changes, publish its notice of such changes, and file affidavits of publication with the Commission two weeks prior to the effective date of the changes. However, the public notice requirement is waived, and therefore not required, for reductions below the maximum cap in instances which do not affect the general body of subscribers or do not constitute a general rate reduction. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications, etc., Order No. 93-638, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (July 16, 1993). Any proposed increase in the maximum rate level reflected in the tariff which would be applicable to the

general body of the Company's subscribers shall constitute a general ratemaking proceeding and will be treated in accordance with the notice and hearing provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-540 (Supp. 1994).

4. LDM shall file its revised maximum tariff and an accompanying price list within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. The revised tariff shall be consistent with the findings of this Order and shall include the changes which LDM agreed to include in its tariff. Further, the tariff shall be filed with the Commission in a loose-leaf binder.

5. LDM is subject to access charges pursuant to Commission Order No. 86-584, in which the Commission determined that for access purposes resellers should be treated similarly to facilities-based interexchange carriers.

6. With regard to the Company's resale of service, an end-user should be able to access another interexchange carrier or operator service provider if they so desire.

7. LDM shall resell the services of only those interexchange carriers or LECs authorized to do business in South Carolina by this Commission. If LDM changes underlying carriers, it shall notify the Commission in writing.

8. With regard to completion of any intraLATA toll calls, LDM shall comply with the terms of Order No. 93-462, Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement, in Docket Nos. 92-182-C, 92-183-C, and 92-200-C (June 3, 1993).

9. Further, LDM shall file surveillance reports on a calendar or fiscal year basis with the Commission as required by

Order No. 88-178 in Docket No. 87-483-C. The proper form for these reports is indicated on Attachment A.

10. That this Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

  
CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

  
Executive Director

(SEAL)

ANNUAL INFORMATION ON SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS  
FOR INTEREXCHANGE COMPANIES AND AOS'S

---

COMPANY NAME

---

FEI NO.

---

ADDRESS

---

CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

---

PHONE NUMBER

- (1) SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING  
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING \_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING  
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING \_\_\_\_\_.
- (3) RATE BASE INVESTMENT IN SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS\* FOR 12  
MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING \_\_\_\_\_.

\* THIS WOULD INCLUDE GROSS PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION,  
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, CASH WORKING CAPITAL, CONSTRUCTION  
WORK IN PROGRESS, ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAX,  
CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION AND CUSTOMER DEPOSITS.

- (4) PARENT'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE\* AT DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR  
ENDING \_\_\_\_\_.

\* THIS WOULD INCLUDE ALL LONG TERM DEBT (NOT THE CURRENT PORTION  
PAYABLE), PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON EQUITY.

- (5) PARENT'S EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LONG TERM DEBT AND  
EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR PREFERRED STOCK AT YEAR ENDING  
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING \_\_\_\_\_.

- (6) ALL DETAILS ON THE ALLOCATION METHOD USED TO DETERMINE THE  
AMOUNT OF EXPENSES ALLOCATED TO SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS AS  
WELL AS METHOD OF ALLOCATION OF COMPANY'S RATE BASE INVESTMENT  
(SEE #3 ABOVE).

---

SIGNATURE

---

NAME (PLEASE TYPE OF PRINT)

---

TITLE